

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW THOMASSON,)	1:20-CV-01172-NONE-JLT
)	
Plaintiff,)	SCHEDULING ORDER ¹ (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16)
)	
v.)	Discovery Deadlines:
)	Initial Disclosures: 11/13/2020
SENTINEL TRANSPORTATION, LLC,)	Non-Expert: 8/10/2021
)	Expert: 10/14/2021
Defendant.)	Mid-Discovery Status Conference:
)	6/15/2021 at 8:30 a.m.
<hr/>		
)	Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
		Filing: 8/24/2021
		Hearing: 9/21/2021
		Dispositive Motion Deadlines:
		Filing: 10/12/2021
		Hearing: 11/23/2021
		Pre-Trial Conference:
		3/7/2022 at 8:30 a.m.
		Courtroom 4

I. Magistrate Judge Consent: Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing

Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a

¹ Because of this Court's General Order 612 and because the Court finds the joint report adequately sets forth the information for the scheduling order, the scheduling conference is VACATED.

1 District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case
2 set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset.

3 The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that
4 of the U.S. District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize
5 criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A United States Magistrate Judge
6 may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of
7 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States
8 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

9 The Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California, whenever possible, is utilizing United
10 States Article III District Court Judges from throughout the nation as Visiting Judges. Pursuant to the
11 Local Rules, Appendix A, such reassignments will be random, and the parties will receive no advance
12 notice before their case is reassigned to an Article III District Court Judge from outside of the Eastern
13 District of California.

14 Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to
15 conduct all further proceedings, including trial. **Within 10 days** of the date of this order, counsel
16 **SHALL** file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating
17 whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

18 **II. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

19 The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)
20 on or before **November 13, 2020**.

21 The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or before **August**
22 **10, 2021** and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before **October 14, 2021**.

23 The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before **August 24,**
24 **2021**, and to disclose all rebuttal experts on or before **September 14, 2021**. The written designation of
25 retained and non-retained experts shall **be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A), (B),**
26 **and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder**. Failure to designate experts in
27 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered
28 through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

1 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts
2 and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions
3 included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may
4 include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

5 The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement
6 disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

7 A mid-discovery status conference is scheduled for **June 15, 2021** at 8:30 a.m. before the
8 Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, U.S. Magistrate Judge, located at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield,
9 California. Counsel SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference report one week before the
10 conference. Counsel also SHALL lodge the status report via e-mail to JLTorders@caed.uscourts.gov.
11 The joint statement SHALL outline the discovery counsel have completed and that which needs to be
12 completed as well as any impediments to completing the discovery within the deadlines set forth in this
13 order. **Counsel SHALL discuss settlement and certify that they have done so.** Counsel may appear
14 via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the
15 Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk receives a written notice of the intent to appear
16 telephonically no later than five court days before the noticed hearing date.

17 **III. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

18 All non-dispositive pre-trial motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later
19 than **August 24, 2021²** and heard on or before **September 21, 2021**. Non-dispositive motions are heard
20 before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge at the United States
21 Courthouse in Bakersfield, California.

22 **No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it**
23 **is filed at least one week before the first deadline the parties wish to extend.** Likewise, no written
24 discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the assigned Magistrate Judge. A party
25 with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by
26 agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party promptly
27

28 ² Non-dispositive motions related to non-expert discovery SHALL be filed within a reasonable time of
discovery of the dispute, but not later than 30 days after the expiration of the non-expert discovery deadline.

1 shall seek a telephonic hearing with all involved parties and the Magistrate Judge. It shall be the
2 obligation of the moving party to arrange and originate the conference call to the court. To schedule
3 this telephonic hearing, the parties are ordered to contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at
4 (661) 326-6620 or via email at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov. **Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251**
5 **with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped**
6 **from the Court's calendar.**

7 Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions via teleconference by dialing (888) 557-
8 8511 and entering Access Code 1652736, provided the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy Clerk
9 receives a written notice of the intent to appear telephonically no later than five court days before the
10 noticed hearing date.

11 All dispositive pre-trial motions shall be filed no later than **October 12, 2021** and heard no later
12 than **November 23, 2021**, in Courtroom 4 at 8:30 a.m. In scheduling such motions, **counsel shall**
13 **comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.**

14 **IV. Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

15 **At least 21 days before** filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary
16 adjudication, the parties are **ORDERED** to meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues
17 to be raised in the motion.

18 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a
19 question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole
20 or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the
21 issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the
22 expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

23 The moving party **SHALL** initiate the meeting and **SHALL** provide a complete, proposed
24 statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of
25 undisputed facts **SHALL** include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be
26 deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint
27 statement of undisputed facts.

28 In the notice of motion the moving party **SHALL** certify that the parties have met and conferred

as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. **Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.**

V. Pre-Trial Conference Date

March 7, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 4.

The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).** The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word format by email at noneorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters set forth in the Local Rules the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

VI. Settlement Conference

The parties may jointly request a settlement conference if they believe the conference is likely to be productive.

VII. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

The parties report that they agree to bifurcating liability from punitive damages in this action. (Doc. 6 at 6.)

VIII. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

IX. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

///

X. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this order are firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 10, 2020

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE